

AU-6242

M.A./M.Sc. (Final) Examination, 2014

Mathematics

Paper: First (Compulsory) 3<sup>rd</sup> Semester

Integration Theory

Time: 3 hrs

MODEL ANSWERS

I a) Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be measure functions defined on a space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . The measure  $\nu$  is said to be absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mu$  iff  $\mu(A) = 0 \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \nu(A) = 0$  and is denoted by  $\nu \ll \mu$ .

b) Since  $f = f^+ - f^-$ , so  $\phi(E) = \int_E f d\mu = \int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu$   
we have either  $\int_E f^+ d\mu < \infty$  or  $\int_E f^- d\mu < \infty$  so that  $\phi$  takes at most one of the values  $\infty, -\infty$  and  $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$  is trivial.  
Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a sequence of disjoint sets of  $\beta$  and for  $E \in \beta$  write  $\phi^+(E) = \int_E f^+ d\mu$ ,  $\phi^-(E) = \int_E f^- d\mu$ , so that  $\phi^+$  and  $\phi^-$  are measures. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) &= \phi^+\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) - \phi^-\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \\ &= \sum \phi^+(E_i) - \sum \phi^-(E_i) = \sum \phi(E_i) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \phi$  is a signed measure

c) Lebesgue Decomposition: Let  $(X, \beta, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $\nu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure defined on  $\beta$ . Then we can find a measure  $\nu_0$  which is singular w.r.t.  $\mu$  and a measure  $\nu_1$  which is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mu$  such that  $\nu = \nu_0 + \nu_1$ . The measures  $\nu_0$  and  $\nu_1$  are unique.

d) Fubini's theorem: Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$  be two measure spaces and  $\nu$  be complete. Let  $f$  be integrable over  $X \times Y$  w.r.t. the product measure  $\mu \times \nu$ . Then for almost all  $x \in X$ , the  $x$ -section of  $f$ ,  $f(x, \cdot)$ , is integrable over  $Y$  w.r.t.  $\nu$  and

$$\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \left[ \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right] d\mu(x).$$

e) The value of  $D^+f(0) = 1$  and the value of  $D^-f(0) = 1$   
 (calculation needed)

f) Let  $f$  be continuous at  $x_0$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(t) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon, \text{ for } |t - x_0| < \delta$$

For  $|h| < \delta$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(t) - f(x)| dt < \varepsilon.$$

g) If  $f$  is a fun of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , then the function

$V_f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$V_f(x) = T_a^x(f) \quad [\text{variation fun}]$$

$$V_f(x) \leq T_a^b(f) \text{ for } a \leq x \leq b.$$

If  $x_1, x_2$  are two points of  $[a, b]$  s.t.  $x_2 > x_1$ , then

$$0 \leq |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq T_{x_1}^{x_2}(f)$$

$$= T_a^{x_2}(f) - T_a^{x_1}(f)$$

$$= V_f(x_2) - V_f(x_1) \Rightarrow V_f(x_2) \geq V_f(x_1).$$

h) Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be absolutely continuous fun over the closed interval  $[a, b]$  so that given  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^n |g(b_k) - g(a_k)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta \ \forall \ a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_n, b_n$  s.t.  
 $a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n < b_n$ .

Let  $g(x)$  vanish now here in  $[a, b]$ . So that  $\exists \sigma > 0$  s.t.  $|g(x)| \geq \sigma$   
 $\forall x \in [a, b]$

claim:  $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  is absolutely cont on  $[a, b]$

$$\sum \left| \frac{1}{g(b_k)} - \frac{1}{g(a_k)} \right| = \sum \frac{|g(b_k) - g(a_k)|}{|g(b_k) \cdot g(a_k)|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma^2} \text{ (=: } \varepsilon'), \text{ we get}$$

$$\sum \left| \frac{1}{g(b_k)} - \frac{1}{g(a_k)} \right| < \varepsilon' \Rightarrow \frac{1}{g(x)} \text{ is absolutely } \underline{\text{cont}} \text{ on } [a, b].$$

by conclusion, we set the result. (Any other suitable way can also consider)

(c) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there is a  $\delta > 0$  s.t. for every measurable set  $A \subset [a, b]$  with  $m(A) < \delta, \int_A |f| < \varepsilon$ . Since the integrability of  $f$  implies that of  $|f|$ . Thus, for any finite collection  $\{(x_i, x_i') : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of pairwise open intervals in  $[a, b]$  with  $\sum (x_i' - x_i) < \delta$ , we have

$$\sum \left| \int_{x_i}^{x_i'} f(t) dt \right| \leq \sum \int_{x_i}^{x_i'} |f(t)| dt < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum |F(x_i') - F(x_i)| < \varepsilon.$$

(j) The class of Baire sets is defined to be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  each fun in  $C_c(X)$  is measurable w.r.t  $\mathcal{B}$ . (and explanation need for  $C_c(X)$ ).

Q2(a) Let  $(A, B)$  be a Hahn decomposition of  $X$  with respect to the signed measure  $\nu$  so that  $\nu^+$  and  $\nu^-$  are given by  $\nu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap A)$  and  $\nu^-(E) = -\nu(E \cap B)$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Now if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  is such that  $\nu^-(E) = 0$  then for any  $F \subseteq E$  with  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $0 \leq \nu^-(F) \leq \nu^-(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu^-(F) = 0$ .

Therefore  $\nu(F) = \nu^+(F) \geq 0$ . Thus every measurable subset  $F$  of  $E$  is such that  $\nu(F) \geq 0 \Rightarrow E$  is positive set.

Again if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $|\nu|(E) = 0$  then  $\nu^+(E) = \nu^-(E) = 0$  and therefore  $\nu^+(E) = \nu^-(E) = 0$ . For any measurable set  $F$  with  $F \subseteq E$ , we have  $0 \leq \nu^+(F) \leq \nu^+(E) = 0$  and  $0 \leq \nu^-(F) \leq \nu^-(E) = 0$  showing  $\nu^+(F) = \nu^-(F) = 0$  and hence  $\nu(F) = \nu^+(F) - \nu^-(F) = 0$ .

Thus  $\nu(F) = 0$  for every measurable subset  $F$  of  $E \Rightarrow E$  is null set.

b) Let  $\langle A_n \rangle$  be a sequence of positive sets in  $X$ . Let  $A = \bigcup A_n$ . Also let  $B$  be any measurable subset of  $A$ .

To prove that  $A$  is a positive set.

Write  $B_n = B \cap A_n \cap A_n^c \cap \dots \cap A_1^c$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

We know that if a set is measurable then its complement is also measurable. Also a countable intersection of measurable sets is measurable. These facts lead to the conclusion that  $B_n$  is a measurable subset of the positive set  $A_n$  and hence  $\nu(B_n) \geq 0$ . From the construction of  $B_n$ , it is clear that sets  $B_n$  are disjoint. Moreover

$$B = \bigcup B_n \Rightarrow \nu(B) = \sum \nu(B_n) \geq 0$$

Thus we have shown that

1)  $A$  is a measurable set. For  $A_n$  is a positive set  $\Rightarrow A_n$  is a measurable set.

$\Rightarrow \bigcup A_n$  is measurable  $\Rightarrow A$  is measurable.

2)  $\forall B \subseteq A$  s.t.  $B$  is measurable set  $\Rightarrow \nu(B) \geq 0$ .

By definition, this proves that  $A$  is a positive set.

3 Hahn Decomposition theorem: suppose  $\nu$  is a signed measure on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\exists$  a positive set  $P$  and a negative set  $Q$  s.t.  $P \cap Q = \emptyset$ ,  $X = P \cup Q$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\nu$  be a signed measure on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . since  $\nu$  assumes almost one of the values  $-\infty$  and  $\infty$ . without loss of generality we can suppose that  $\nu$  does not take  $-\infty$ . Consider the family  $\mathcal{B}$  of all negative subsets of  $X$  and let.

$$\lambda = \inf \{ \nu(E) : E \in \mathcal{B} \} \quad \text{--- ①}$$

Then  $\exists$  a seq.  $\langle E_n \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  s.t.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_n) = \lambda$ .

$\mathcal{B}$  is a family of negative set  $\Leftrightarrow \langle E_n \rangle$  is a seq. of -ve sets  
 $\Rightarrow \cup E_i$  is a negative set  
 $\Rightarrow Q$  is a -ve set on taking

$$Q = \cup E_i$$

Thus  $Q$  is a -ve set of  $X$ . Then ①,  $\nu(Q) \geq \lambda$ .

Next we consider the subset  $Q - E_n$  of  $Q$ .

$$\therefore Q = (Q - E_n) \cup E_n$$

$$\therefore \nu(Q) = \nu(Q - E_n) + \nu(E_n) \leq \nu(E_n)$$

$$\nu(Q) \leq \nu(E_n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$E_n \in \mathcal{B} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nu(Q) \leq \lambda$$

Thus we have shown that

$$\nu(Q) \leq \lambda \text{ and } \nu(Q) \geq \lambda.$$

$$\text{This } \Rightarrow \nu(Q) = \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda > -\infty.$$

Next our aim is to show that  $P = X - Q$  is a +ve subset of  $X$ .

Suppose the contrary. Then  $P$  is not positive and so  $P$  is negative. Hence by definition, for every measurable set  $E \subset P$ ,  $\nu(E) < 0$ . Now  $E$  is a measurable subset of  $X$  with -ve measure. If  $E$  is a measurable set of finite negative measure.

consequently

$$v(A \cup B) \geq \lambda \quad \text{by } \textcircled{1}$$

$$\text{But } \lambda \leq v(A \cup B) = v(A) + v(B) = v(A) + \lambda$$

$$\lambda \leq v(A) + \lambda \Rightarrow v(A) \geq 0, \text{ a } \textcircled{*}$$

Therefore  $v(A) \geq 0$ .

Thus  $\rho = \chi - \alpha$  is positive and  $\alpha$  is negative,

$$\therefore X = \rho \cup \alpha; \rho \cap \alpha = \emptyset.$$

4. a) By Riesz Representation theorem that if  $E$  is any measurable set of  $\sigma$ -finite measure then there is a unique  $g_E \in L^q$  vanishing outside  $E$ , such that

$$F(f) = \int g_E f d\mu, \text{ for each } f \in L^q \text{ which}$$

vanishes outside  $E$ .

Moreover,  $\|g_E\|_q \leq \|F\|$ .

Since  $g_E$  is unique and for  $A \subseteq E$  we have  $g_A = g_E$  a.e. on  $A$ .

For each  $E$  of  $\sigma$ -finite measure set  $\lambda(E) = \int |g_E|^q d\mu$ .

Then for  $A \subseteq E$ , we have

$$\lambda(A) \leq \lambda(E) = \|g_E\|_q^q$$

Hence  $\lambda(A) \leq \lambda(E) \leq \|F\|_q^q$ .

Let  $\langle E_n \rangle$  be a seq. of sets of  $\sigma$ -finite measure such that

$\lambda(E_n)$  tends to the maximum value  $m$  of  $\lambda$ .

Then  $H = \cup E_n$  is a set of  $\sigma$ -finite measure and by the monotonicity of  $\lambda$  we have  $\lambda(H) \geq \lambda(\cup E_n) = \sum \lambda E_n = m$ .

Let  $g$  be defined by  $g(x) = g_H(x) + \chi_{F \setminus H}$

Then  $g \in L^q$  as  $g_H \in L^q$ . If  $H \subseteq E$ , then  $g_E = g_H$  a.e. on  $H$

$$\text{And } \int |g_E|_q = \lambda(E) \leq \lambda(H) = \int |g_H|_q^q$$

and so  $g_E = 0$  a.e. on  $E \setminus H$ . Thus  $g_E = g$  almost everywhere on  $E$ .

Thus,  $F(f) = \int f g_E d\mu = \int f g d\mu$ .

b) Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem:

Let  $g$  be an integrable function on  $E$  and let  $\langle f_n \rangle$  be a seq. of measurable fns such that  $|f_n| \leq g$  on  $E$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \text{ a.e. on } E. \text{ Then } \int_E f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n.$$

: clearly each  $f_n$  is integrable over  $E$ . Further, it follows

from  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$  a.e. on  $E$  and  $|f_n| \leq g$  on  $E$  that

$$|f| \leq g \text{ a.e. on } E.$$

Hence  $f$  is integrable over  $E$ . Consider a seq.  $\langle h_n \rangle$

of fns defined by  $h_n = f_n + g$ .

clearly,  $h_n$  is a nonnegative and integrable fn for each  $n$ .

Therefore, by Fatou's Lemma, we get

$$\int f + g \leq \liminf \int_E (f_n + g)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_E f \leq \liminf \int_E f_n.$$

Similarly, consider a seq.  $\langle k_n \rangle$  defined by  $k_n = g - f_n$  and

observe that  $k_n$  is a nonnegative and integrable fn

for each  $n$ . So, again by Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\int_E (g - f) \leq \liminf \int_E (g - f_n) \Rightarrow \int_E f \geq \limsup \int_E f_n.$$

Q.5 It is sufficient to prove that the set  $I(\exists f > \alpha)$

is measurable for any real number  $\alpha$ . Consider, for a

real number  $\alpha$ , the set

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists f(x) > \alpha\}$$

corresponding to each pair of positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ ,

we denote by  $A_{mn}$  the union of all intervals  $[x_1, x_2]$

which are subsets of  $I$  and have the properties

$$|x_2 - x_1| < \frac{1}{m} \text{ and } \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} > \alpha + \frac{1}{n}.$$

clearly, the set  $A_{mn}$  is measurable and so is the

$$\text{set } \bigcup_n \bigcap_m A_{mn}.$$

Let  $c \in A$ . Then  $\exists$  an integer  $n_0$  such that  $D^+ f(c) > \alpha + \frac{1}{n_0}$ .

Therefore, corresponding to each value of  $m$ , there exists a point  $x^*$  of  $I$  satisfying

$$0 < |x^* - c| < \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(x^*) - f(c)}{x^* - c} > \alpha + \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

Then  $c \in \bigcup_n \bigcap_m A_{mn}$  as the closed interval  $[c, x^*]$  is contained in  $A_{mn}$  where  $c \in A_{mn_0}$  for each  $m$ . This further gives that  $A \subset \bigcup_n \bigcap_m A_{mn}$ .

To get the reverse inequality, let  $c \in \bigcup_n \bigcap_m A_{mn}$ . There is an  $n_0$  such that  $c \in \bigcap_m A_{mn_0}$ , where  $c \in A_{mn_0} \forall m$ . This gives, corresponding to each  $m$ , an interval  $[x_1, x_2]$  such that  $x_1 \leq c \leq x_2$ .

$$|x_2 - x_1| < \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} > \alpha + \frac{1}{n_0}. \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Suppose  $x_1 < c < x_2$ . Then in view of (1), at least one of the following two must be true.

$$\text{(a) } \frac{f(x_1) - f(c)}{x_1 - c} > \alpha + \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

$$\text{(b) } \frac{f(x_2) - f(c)}{x_2 - c} > \alpha + \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

Further, by (1) we see that if  $x_2 = c$ , then (a) is true, and if  $x_1 = c$  then (b) is true. In either case,  $\exists$  a no.  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$0 < |x - c| < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} > \alpha + \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

This implies that  $D^+ f(c) \geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n_0} \geq \alpha$ . Thus  $c \in A$ .

Hence  $\bigcup_n \bigcap_m A_{mn} \subset A$ .

(b) For finding  $D^+ f(0) = 1$ ,  $D_+ f(0) = -1$ ,  $D^- f(0) = 5$  and  $D_- f(0) = 3$   
(calculation part is needed)

Q.6 (a) Jordan Decomposition Theorem

A function  $f$  defined on  $[a, b]$  is of bounded variation if and only if it can be expressed as a difference of two monotone increasing  $\mathcal{F}$ s on  $[a, b]$ .

Ans: Let  $f$  be of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ . Define  $g$  and  $h$  by  $g = \frac{1}{2} [Vf + f]$  and  $h = \frac{1}{2} [Vf - f]$ . So that  $f = g - h$ .

Now, if  $x_1, x_2$  is any pair of points in  $[a, b]$  with  $x_2 > x_1$ , then

$$g(x_2) - g(x_1) = \frac{1}{2} [ \{ Vf(x_2) - Vf(x_1) \} + \{ f(x_2) - f(x_1) \} ]$$

$$h(x_2) - h(x_1) = \frac{1}{2} [ \{ Vf(x_2) - Vf(x_1) \} - \{ f(x_2) - f(x_1) \} ].$$

But,  $f$  being of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , in particular on  $[x_1, x_2]$  we have

$$|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq T_{x_1}^{x_2}(f) = Vf(x_2) - Vf(x_1).$$

Hence  $g(x_2) \geq g(x_1)$  and  $h(x_2) \geq h(x_1)$  verifying that  $g$  and  $h$  both are monotone increasing  $\mathcal{F}$ s.

Conversely, if  $f = g - h$  where  $g$  and  $h$  are monotone increasing  $\mathcal{F}$ s then for any partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| &\leq \sum [g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})] + \sum [h(x_i) - h(x_{i-1})] \\ &= g(b) - g(a) + h(b) - h(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_a^b(f) < \infty.$$

Hence  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$  of bounded variation.

(b) Since  $f$  is bounded and measurable, it is integrable.  $F$  is a continuous  $\mathcal{F}$  of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  and hence  $F'$  exists a.e. in  $[a, b]$ .

Let  $|f| \leq K$ . Consider the set

$$f_n(x) = \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} \quad \text{with } h = \frac{1}{n}. \text{ Then}$$

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt \Rightarrow |f_n| \leq K.$$

Also,  $f_n \rightarrow F'$  a.e. If  $c \in [a, b]$  is arbitrary, then the



bounded convergence theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^c F'(x) dx &= \lim \int_a^c f_n(x) dx \\ &= \lim \frac{1}{n} \int_a^c [F(x+h) - F(x)] dx \\ &= \lim \left[ \frac{1}{n} \int_c^{c+h} F(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \int_a^{a+h} F(x) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But  $F$  being a continuous ~~fn~~, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim \frac{1}{n} \int_c^{c+h} F(x) dx &= \lim \frac{1}{n} k \int_c^{c+h} F(x) dx \\ &= \lim F(c+\theta h) = F(c) \quad (0 < \theta < 1) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $\lim \frac{1}{n} \int_a^{a+h} F(x) dx = F(a)$ .

$$\text{Thus } \int_a^c F'(x) dx = F(c) - F(a) = \int_a^c f(x) dx$$

$$\text{This implies that } \int_a^c [F'(x) - f(x)] dx = 0$$

This is true for all  $c \in [a, b]$ .

Q.7. ~~Q~~ If  $f$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $f' = 0$  a.e.,

(i) show that  $f$  is constant ~~fn~~.

Let  $c \in [a, b]$  be arbitrary. Then it is enough to prove that  $f(c) = f(a)$ . Let  $E = \{x \in (a, c) : f'(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $E \subset (a, c)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\eta$  be arbitrary positive ~~nos~~. Then, each  $x \in E$  is the left end point of an arbitrary small interval  $[x, x+h] \subset [a, c]$  such that  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| < \eta h$  ( $h > 0$ ).

Thus, the set of all such intervals forms a Vitali cover of  $E$ .

From among these intervals, we can choose a finite collection  $\{[x_i, y_i] : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  of pairwise disjoint intervals which covers all of  $E$  except for a set of measure less than  $\delta$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is the number corresponding to  $\varepsilon > 0$  in the definition of the absolute continuity of  $f$ .

we have

$$y_0 = a \leq x_1 < y_1 \leq x_2 < y_2 \dots < y_n \leq c = x_{n+1}$$

Also, the complement of the union of these intervals is again the union of a finite number of disjoint intervals  $\{[y_i, x_{i+1}] : i=0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . Their combined length is not greater than  $\delta$ .

$$\sum |x_{i+1} - y_i| < \delta.$$

Now, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |f(y_i) - f(x_i)| &\leq \eta \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \\ &< \eta (c-a). \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sum |f(x_{i+1}) - f(y_i)| < \epsilon.$

by the absolute continuity of  $f$  hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(c) - f(a)| &= \left| \sum [f(x_{i+1}) - f(y_i)] + \sum [f(y_i) - f(x_i)] \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \eta (c-a). \end{aligned}$$

since  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  are arbitrary positive no's, the result follows by letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ .

(ii) For  $\epsilon=1$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every finite collection  $\{[x_i, x'_i], i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of pairwise disjoint intervals in  $[a, b]$  with  $\sum |x'_i - x_i| < \delta$ , we have

$$\sum |f(x'_i) - f(x_i)| < 1.$$

select a natural number  $N > \frac{b-a}{\delta}$ .

Divide  $[a, b]$  by means of points

$$a = c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_N = b$$

such that  $c_j - c_{j-1} < \delta$ , for  $j=1, 2, \dots, N$ . Therefore, for every finite collection  $\{[x_i, x'_i]\}$  of pairwise disjoint subintervals in  $[c_{j-1}, c_j]$  we have

$$\sum |f(x'_i) - f(x_i)| < 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{c_{j-1}}^{c_j}(f) \leq 1, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, N$$

Hence  $\mathcal{T}_a^b(f) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{T}_{c_{i-1}}^{c_i}(f) \leq N < \infty.$

Q.8. A measure  $\mu$  defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Baire sets is called a Baire measure if it is finite for each compact Baire set.

Since  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -bounded, it is contained in a  $\sigma$ -compact open set  $O$ .

$$\text{If } \mu E = \infty \Rightarrow \mu O = \infty.$$

In this case nothing to prove.

If  $E$  is compact  $G_\delta$ , then there is a function  $\varphi \in C_c(X)$  which is 1 on  $E$  and  $0 \leq \varphi < 1$  on  $\bar{E}$ .

Let  $O_n = \{x : \varphi(x) > 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Then each  $O_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact open set,

$$O_n \supset O_{n+1}, \text{ and } E = \bigcap O_n.$$

Since  $\bar{O}_1$  is compact,  $\mu O_1 < \infty$  and  $\mu E = \lim \mu O_n$ .

Thus for some  $O_n$  we have  $\mu O_n < \mu E + \epsilon$ .

Let  $E = E_1 \cap \bar{E}_2$  where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are compact  $G_\delta$ 's with  $E_2 \subset E_1$ , and let  $U$  be a  $\sigma$ -compact open set with  $\bar{U}$  compact such that  $E_1 \subset U$  and  $\mu U < \mu E_1 + \epsilon$ .

Set  $O = U \cap \bar{E}_2$ . Then  $O$  is the intersection of  $F_\sigma$ 's and so is an  $F_\sigma$ . Since  $O$  is contained in the compact set  $\bar{U}$ ,  $O$  must be  $\sigma$ -compact. Since  $O \cap E = U \cap E_1$ , we have  $\mu(O \cap E) = \mu(U \cap E_1) = \mu U - \mu E_1 < \epsilon$ . Thus  $\mu O < \mu E + \epsilon$ , then proof is completed for sets  $E$  in the semi-algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  generated by the compact  $G_\delta$ 's.

(And we consider the proof if  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra case also).

—  $\square$  —

□